

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/070,555 06/28/2002 Patrice Robert 33808F170 7590 09/25/2003 Smith Gambrell & Russell EXAMINER Suite 800 1850 M Street NW NUTTER, NATHAN M Washington, DC 20036 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1711 DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

' Application No. Applicant(s)	
* 10/070,555 ROBERT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit	
Nathan M. Nutter 1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims	
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	i).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	•
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1, 2.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/070,555

Art Unit: 1711

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-6, drawn to a polymer blend composition.

Group II, claim 7, drawn to a method of making "an item".

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The two Groups, as listed, fail to claim the same or corresponding special technical features. The inventions are not disclosed as being capable of use together and possess different characteristics which have different functions and effects, as well as have different modes of operation. The groupings of claims which would be acceptable to provide a Unity of Invention are those as set out in 37 CFR 1.475(b). Note MPEP 1875.01 in this regard.

Further, the inventions that may be grouped together as a single inventive concept are as follows:

An international or a national stage application containing claims to different categories of

invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the

following combinations of categories:

- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
- (2) A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use

of the said product; or

(4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said

process; or

Application/Control Number: 10/070,555

Art Unit: 1711

(5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

## For Compound A):

- 1) ethylene with maleic anhydride copolymer, or
- 2) ethylene with epoxy copolymer.

## For Compound B):

CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF

- 1) a chemical crosslinker, (such as divinyl benzene, or even peroxide),
- 2) a copolymer of ethylene with an unsaturated epoxide (claims 2 or 4), or
- 3) polyamines (claims 5 or 6).

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 10/070,555

Art Unit: 1711

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: claim 1.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The species, as listed, fail to claim the same or corresponding special technical features. The inventions are not disclosed as being capable of use together and possess different characteristics which have different functions and effects, as well as have different modes of operation.

Due to the complexity of the restriction requirement, applicants' counsel was not contacted telephonically to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan M. Nutter whose telephone number is 703-308-2443. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1711

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

> Nathan M. Nutter Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711

Page 5

nmn 19 September 2003